
From: Lesk, Emily (ECN)
Sent: Monday, June 19, 2017 4:49 PM
To: Lisa Spinali
Cc: Shaw, Jeremy (CPC); Shanahan, Thomas (ECN)
Subject: RE: For the record . . .

Okay—happy to discuss on Thursday.

Emily Lesk
Direct: (415) 554-6162
Email: emily.lesk@sfgov.org

From: Lisa Spinali [<mailto:lisa.spinali@gmail.com>]
Sent: Monday, June 19, 2017 2:13 PM
To: Lesk, Emily (ECN) <emily.lesk@sfgov.org>
Cc: Shaw, Jeremy (CPC) <jeremy.shaw@sfgov.org>; Shanahan, Thomas (ECN) <thomas.shanahan@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: For the record . . .

I think that her comments were very misleading and it would be appropriate to ask her to correct her comments by putting something into the record at the next meeting. She was irresponsible and that does not enhance the reputation of the CAC by any means. I can discuss further with you when I see you later this week.

Thanks,
Lisa

On Mon, Jun 19, 2017 at 12:44 PM, Lesk, Emily (ECN) <emily.lesk@sfgov.org> wrote:

Hi Lisa,

I'm sorry to hear about this, especially because housing affordability really is a problem for so many people right now. I would have advised talking to Rebecca about this and encouraging her to provide clarification at a future CAC meeting. So it's unfortunate that she will no longer be present at CAC meetings to explain herself, but on the flip side that also means that this kind of thing can't happen again.

In any case, thanks for letting us know. Since the CAC is advisory to City staff, it's important that we be able to put members' remarks in context.

Happy to discuss further, but that's my initial two cents.

Thanks,

Emily

Emily Lesk

Direct: [\(415\) 554-6162](tel:4155546162)

Email: emily.lesk@sfgov.org

From: Lisa Spinali [mailto:lisa.spinali@gmail.com]

Sent: Friday, June 16, 2017 9:28 PM

To: Lesk, Emily (ECN) <emily.lesk@sfgov.org>; Shaw, Jeremy (CPC) <jeremy.shaw@sfgov.org>; Shanahan, Thomas (ECN) <thomas.shanahan@sfgov.org>

Subject: For the record . . .

Jennifer Heggie shared with me the following:

"Couldn't resist letting you know what Rebecca Lee (SFPUC CAC Rep) told me prior to this evening's meetings because she made some, what I consider, misleading comments to get sympathy for her point of view. Rebecca and I struck up a conversation at the table with the sign-in sheet. She told me this would be her last meeting because she had accepted a job offer in Sacramento working with a sustainability/clean energy company. She was enthusiastic about the new job. We talked about how that would help her future career prospects. She mentioned she has a decent [my word] rate on her SF apt [I don't recall what words she used, but in context it was a rate she wouldn't get again if she ended her lease and came back.] I asked if she would be subletting while she is gone, at least at first, just in case, and she said she is thinking about keeping her lease primarily so her roommate who will be starting grad school can stay in the apt. I took that to mean for the next two years, but could be wrong.

She was not driven out because of high housing costs in SF. She chose to leave because she had a great job offer. "

I do find this disturbing and inauthentic . . . I am wondering if I should share with the other members of the CAC. Please advise.

Thanks,

Lisa

--

.....
Lisa Spinali

lisa.spinali@gmail.com

[415.706.3870](tel:4157063870)

--

.....
Lisa Spinali
lisa.spinali@gmail.com
415.706.3870